FILED SUPERIOR COURT COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO Kane Moon (SBN 249834) 1 SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT kane.moon@moonyanglaw.com 2 H. Scott Leviant (SBN 200834) By dlan Krnández Diguty scott.leviant@moonvanglaw.com 3 Lilit Tunyan (SBN 329351) lilit.tunyan@moonyanglaw.com MOON & YANG, APC 4 1055 W. Seventh St., Suite 1880 5 Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 232-3128 Facsimile: (213) 232-3125 6 Attornevs for Plaintiff JOSE CASTILLO 7 Raul Perez (SBN 174687) 8 Raul.Perez@capstonelawyers.com Mark A. Ozzello (SBN 116595) Mark.Ozzello@capstonelawyers.com 9 Brandon K. Brouillette (SBN 273156) Brandon.Brouillette@capstonelawyers.com 10 CAPSTONE LAW APC 11 1875 Century Park East, Suite 1000 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 556-4811 12 Attorneys for Plaintiff EZEQUIEL HERRERA 13 14 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 15 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO JOSE DAMIAN CASTILLO, individually, and on 16 Case No.: CIVDS2022537 [Castillo] behalf of all others similarly situated, Case No.: CIVSB2024673 [Herrera] 17 Plaintiff, [Assigned to the Hon. Judge David Cohn, 18 Dept. S26, for all purposes] VS. 19 **CLASS ACTION** GIBSON OVERSEAS, INC., a California Corporation; PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING 20 and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS 21 Defendants. **ACTION SETTLEMENT** EZEQUIEL HERRERA, individually, and on behalf of 22 other members of the general public similarly situated. Date: June 6, 2022 10:00 a.m. 23 Time: Plaintiff, Courtroom: S26 24 David S. Cohn Judge: VS. 25 Castillo Action Filed: October 13, 2020 GIBSON OVERSEAS, INC., a California Herrera Action Filed: November 2, 2020 corporation; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, 26 Trial Date: Not Set Defendants. 27 28

Page 1

PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

Castillo v. Gibson Overseas, Inc.

Case No.: CIVDS2022537 [Castillo]

## TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

Plaintiffs Jose Damian Castillo and Ezequiel Herrera ("Plaintiffs") and Defendant Gibson Overseas, Inc. ("Defendant") have reached terms of settlement for a putative class action.

Plaintiffs have filed a motion for final approval of a class action settlement of the claims asserted against Defendant in this action, memorialized in the JOINT STIPULATION OF CLASS ACTION AND PAGA ACTION SETTLEMENT (*see* Declaration of H. Scott Leviant In Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement ["Leviant Decl."], at Exh. 1). The JOINT STIPULATION OF CLASS ACTION AND PAGA ACTION SETTLEMENT is referred to herein as the "Agreement" or "Settlement."

After reviewing the Agreement, the Notice process, and other related documents, and having heard the argument of Counsel for respective parties, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. The Court finds that the terms of the proposed class action Settlement are fair, reasonable, and adequate, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382. In granting final approval of the class action settlement the Court has considered the factors identified in *Dunk v. Ford Motor Co.*,48 Cal. App. 4th 1794 (1996), as approved in *Wershba v. Apple Computer, Inc.*, 91 Cal. App. 4th 224 (2001) and *In re Mircrosoft IV Cases*, 135 Cal. App. 4th 706 (2006).
- 2. The Court finds that the Settlement has been reached as a result of intensive, serious and non-collusive arms-length negotiations. The Court further finds that the parties have conducted thorough investigation and research, and the attorneys for the parties are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. The Court also finds that settlement at this time will avoid additional substantial costs, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the action. The Court finds that the risks of further prosecution are substantial.
- 3. The parties' Settlement is granted final approval. The Court has considered all relevant factors for determining the fairness of the settlement, and has concluded that all such factors weigh in favor of granting final approval. In particular, the Court finds that the settlement was reached following meaningful discovery and investigation conducted by Class Counsel; that the settlement is the result of serious, informed, adversarial, and arm's-length negotiations between the Parties; and that the terms of the settlement are in all respects fair, adequate, and reasonable. The Settlement Class meets the

requirements for conditional certification for settlement purposes only under Code of Civil Procedure § 382.

- 4. The Class Notice provided to the Settlement Class complied with the requirements of Code of Civil Procedure § 382, Civil Code § 1781, Rules of Court 3.766 and 3.769, the California and United States Constitutions, and any other applicable law, and constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances, by providing individual notice to all Settlement Class Members who could be identified through reasonable effort, and by providing due and adequate notice of the proceedings and of the matters set forth therein to the other Settlement Class Members. The Class Notice fully satisfied the requirements of due process.
- 5. The following persons are certified as Class Members solely for the purpose of entering a settlement in this matter:

All persons who worked for any Defendant in California as an hourly paid, non-exempt employee during the Class Period (the "Class Period" is October 13, 2016 through January 19, 2022).

(Settlement,  $\P \P 3-4.$ )

6. The Court also defines the following "PAGA Employees" impacted by the proposed settlement of PAGA claims:

All Class Members that worked at any time during the PAGA Period (the "PAGA Period" means the period between October 13, 2019 through January 19, 2022).

(Settlement, ¶¶ 9-10.)

- 7. Plaintiffs Jose Damian Castillo and Ezequiel Herrera are appointed the Class Representatives. The Court finds that Moon & Yang, APC and Capstone Law APC, Plaintiffs' counsel, are adequate, as they are experienced in wage and hour class action litigation and have no conflicts of interest with absent Class Members, and that they adequately represented the interests of absent class members in the Litigation. Moon & Yang, APC and Capstone Law APC are appointed Class Counsel.
- 8. No Settlement Class Members timely requested exclusion from the Class. All Class Members are Settlement Class Members, bound by the Final Approval Order and Judgment in the Action.

- Upon entry of this Final Approval Order and the subsequent Judgment, funding of the Settlement and compensation to the Settlement Class Members shall be implemented pursuant to the
- In addition to any recovery that Plaintiffs may receive under the Settlement as Settlement Class Members, and in recognition of the Plaintiffs' efforts on behalf of the Settlement Class, the Court hereby approves the payment of enhancement awards to Plaintiffs Jose Damian Castillo and Ezequiel Herrera in the amount of \$10,000 each (for a total of \$20,000).
- The Court approves the payment of attorneys' fees to Class Counsel in the amount of \$250,000.00, with 50% of that amount (\$125,000.00) awarded to Moon & Yang, APC and 50% of that amount (\$125,000.00) awarded to Capstone Law APC, pursuant to a disclosed fee sharing agreement. Litigation expenses are approved by the Court in the amount of \$22,813.75, with \$13,391.65 awarded to Moon & Yang, APC and \$9,422.10 awarded to Capstone Law APC.
- The Court approves and orders payment in the amount of \$13,000.00 to CPT Group. Inc. of its services as the Settlement Administrator, as set forth in the Notice to the Class.
- Upon completion of administration of the Settlement, the Parties shall file a declaration stating that all amounts payable under the Settlement have been paid and that the terms of the
- The Court sets a non-appearance case review regarding compliance with all fund distribution requirements under the Settlement for 12/16/24, 2028, at 4.60 in Dept. S26 of the above-entitled Court. A Final Report, including any declaration from the Settlement Administrator regarding compliance, shall be filed with the Court no later than
- The Court approves and orders payment in the amount of \$56,250 to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency in compromise of claims under the Labor Code Private Attorneys
- Once Defendant fully funds the Settlement by paying all amounts due under the Settlement, Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class Members, shall have, by operation of this Final Approval Order and the separate Judgment, fully, finally and forever released, relinquished, and

Upon the final approval by the Court of this Settlement and Defendant's payment of all sums due pursuant to this Settlement, and except as to such rights or claims as may be created by this Settlement, the Class Representatives, the Class and each Class Member who has not submitted a valid and timely request for exclusion as to claims other than the PAGA claim, will release claims as follows:

- (a) Identity of Released Parties. The released parties are Defendant, and each of its/their former and present direct and/or indirect owners, dba's, affiliates, parents, subsidiaries, brother and sister corporations, divisions, related companies, successors and predecessors, and current and former employees, attorneys, officers, directors, shareholders, owners, trustees, attorneys, fiduciaries, beneficiaries, subrogees, executors, partners, privies, agents, servants, insurers, representatives, administrators, employee benefit plans, and assigns of said entities (collectively "Releasees").
- (b) Date Release Becomes Effective. The Released Claims will be released upon the later of (1) the Settlement's Effective Date, or (2) the satisfaction of Defendant's obligation to provide to the Settlement Administrator a sum in the amount required to satisfy all required payments and distributions pursuant to this Settlement and the Order and Judgment of final approval. Class Members will not release the Released Claims until both the Effective Date of the Settlement has occurred, and Defendant has paid all amounts owing under the Settlement.
- (c) Claims Released by Settlement Class Members. Each and every Class Member, on behalf of himself or herself and his or her heirs and assigns, unless he or she has submitted a timely and valid Requests for Exclusion (which will not effectuate an optout from the release of Released PAGA Claim), hereby releases Releasees from the following claims for the entire Class Period:
- any and all claims stated in the Action, or that could have been stated based on the facts alleged in the Action, implicitly or explicitly, including but not limited to state wage and hour claims (including all claims under the California Labor Code) including the following claims: (i) failure to pay all regular wages, minimum wages and overtime wages due, including at the correct rate of pay; (ii) failure to provide meal periods or compensation in lieu thereof; (iii) failure to provide rest periods or compensation in lieu thereof, (iv) failure to reimburse business expenses; (v) failure to provide complete and accurate wage statements; (vi) failure to pay wages timely at time of termination or resignation; (vii) failure to provide timely pay wages during employment (including a claim under the federal Fair Labor Standards Act, the Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Orders, and common law including conversion); (viii) failure to provide one day's rest in seven, (ix) unfair or unlawful business practices that could have been premised on the claims, causes of action or legal theories of relief described above or any of the claims, causes of action or legal theories of relief pleaded in the operative complaint; and (x) all claims under the California Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 or for civil penalties that could have been premised on the claims. causes of action or legal theories described above or any of the claims, causes of action or legal theories of relief pleaded in the operative complaint including but not limited to Labor Code sections 210, 226.3, 227.7, 510, 512, 558, 1174, 1194, 1197, 1197.1, 2802 and 2699 (the "Released Claims").
- 2) as to any Class Member who cashes their Settlement Payment check, the signing and negotiation of that check shall serve as the Class Member's consent to join the action for purposes of releasing claims arising under the Fair Labor Standards Act that are related to the claims stated in the Action, implicitly or explicitly; and,

Case No.: CIVDS2022537 [Castillo]

Page 4

Castillo v. Gibson Overseas, Inc.

2

1

4

5

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

## PROOF OF SERVICE

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am employed in the State of California, County of Los Angeles. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within suit; my business address is 1055 W. 7<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1880, Los Angeles, CA 90017.

On the date indicated below, I served the document described as: [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT on the interested parties in this action by sending [ | the original [or] [ \sqrt{}] a true copy thereof [ \sqrt{}] to interested parties as follows [or | | ] as stated on the attached service list:

Greenberg Traurig LLP	Capstone Law APC
Ashley Farrell Pickett	Raul Perez
farrellpicketta@gtlaw.com	raul.perez@capstonelawyers.com
Mark Kemple	Mark Ozzello
kemplem@gtlaw.com	mark.ozzello@capstonelawyers.com
1840 Century Park East, 19th Floor	Brandon Brouillette
Los Angeles, CA 90067	brandon.brouillette@capstonelawyers.com
Phone: (310) 586-7700	Eduardo Santos
Fax: (310) 586-7800	eduardo.santos(a)capstonelawyers.com
	1875 Century Park East, Suite 1000
Attorneys for Defendant	Los Angeles, California 90067
	Telephone: (310) 556-4811
	Facsimile: (310) 943-0396

Attorneys for Plaintiff Ezequiel Herrera

BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE: Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to accept electronic service, I caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the electronic service addresses listed above via third-party cloud service CASEANYWHERE. I did not receive an error message.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this **May 4, 2022** at Los Angeles, California.

H. Scott Leviant
Type or Print Name
Signature

Case No.: CIVDS2022537 [Castillo]

Page 1

Castillo v. Gibson Overseas, Inc.